arXiv:1701.00500v1 [math.MG] 2 Jan 2017

A CHARACTERIZATION OF GROMOV HYPERBOLICITY VIA QUASIGEODESIC SUBSPACES

THOMAS WEIGHILL

Abstract. By a geodesic subspace of a metric space X we mean a subset A of X such that any two points in A can be connected by a geodesic in A. It is easy to check that a geodesic metric space X is an $\mathbb{R}$-tree (that is, a 0-hyperbolic space in the sense of Gromov) if and only if the union of any two intersecting geodesic subspaces is again a geodesic subspace. In this paper, we prove an analogous characterization of general Gromov hyperbolic spaces, where we replace geodesic subspaces by quasigeodesic subspaces.

1. Introduction

Recall that a geodesic metric space X is called **δ-hyperbolic** (in the sense of Gromov) if for any three points a, b and c in X and geodesics $[a,b]$, $[b,c]$ and $[a,c]$ between them, the geodesic $[b,c]$ is contained in a δ-neighbourhood of $[a,b]\cup[a,c]$. An example of a Gromov hyperbolic space is a metric tree with the path-length metric: such trees are 0-hyperbolic spaces. More generally, geodesic metric spaces which are 0-hyperbolic are called **$\mathbb{R}$-trees**.

By a **geodesic subspace** of a metric space X we mean a subset A of X such that any two points in A are joined by a geodesic in A. The following observation is easy to verify.

Observation 1.1. *A metric space X is an $\mathbb{R}$-tree if and only if for any geodesic subspaces A and B with $A\cap B\neq\varnothing$, $A\cup B$ is a geodesic subspace.*

In this paper, we give a characterization of Gromov hyperbolic spaces similar to the one for $\mathbb{R}$-trees given in the above observation.

2. Main Result

Let X be a metric space. We say that a subset $A\subseteq X$ is a **(λ,C)-quasigeodesic** subspace if for every pair of points a and a' in A there is a map $f:I\to A$ from an interval $I=[0,v]$ of the real line, such that $f(0)=a$, $f(v)=a'$ and for every pair of points x, x' in X,

$$\lambda^{-1}d(x,x')-C\leq d(f(x),f(x'))\leq\lambda d(x,x')+C.$$

In other words, any pair of points in A are joined by a (λ,C)-quasigeodesic in A in the sense of [1]. In particular, a geodesic subspace is the same as a $(1,0)$-quasigeodesic subspace.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a δ-hyperbolic geodesic metric space. Then for every $\lambda>0$ and $C>0$ there is a $C'>0$ such that for any (λ,C)-quasigeodesic γ, there is a $(1,C')$-quasigeodesic whose image is contained in that of γ and which has the same endpoints.*

Date: January 4, 2017.

Proof. Let γ be a (λ, C)-quasigeodesic. Since X is hyperbolic, there is an $R > 0$ depending only on λ and C such that the image of γ has Hausdorff distance at most R from a geodesic with the same endpoints (see e.g. [1]). Let γ' be this geodesic, and define a new quasigeodesic γ'' with the same domain as γ' by sending x to a point in the image of γ which is within R of $\gamma'(x)$, choosing the endpoints to be the same. Then γ'' is a $(1, 2R)$-quasigeodesic whose image is contained in the image of γ. □

We are now ready to prove the main result. Note the similarity of conditions (1) and (2) with the condition in Observation 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. *The following are equivalent for geodesic metric space X:*

1. *for every $C > 0$ there exists a C' such that if $A, B \subseteq X$ are $(1, C)$-quasigeodesic subspaaces and $A \cap B \neq \varnothing$, then $A \cup B$ is a $(1, C')$-quasigeodesic subspace.*
2. *for every $\lambda, C > 0$ there exists $\lambda', C' > 0$ such that if $A, B \subseteq X$ are (λ, C)-quasigeodesic subspaces and $A \cap B \neq \varnothing$, then $A \cup B$ is a (λ', C')-quasigeodesic subspace.*
3. *X is δ-hyperbolic for some δ.*

Proof. (3) $\implies$ (1): Let A and B be $(1, C)$-quasigeodesic subspaces of X, and let $w \in A \cap B$. Since there is a $(1, C)$-quasigeodesic joining any two points in A and any two points in B, it is enough to consider $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Since X is δ-hyperbolic, there is a point on the geodesic from a to b which is at most δ from the geodesic from w to a and the geodesic from w to b. Moreover, there is an $R > 0$ depending only on C such that a $(1, C)$-quasigeodesic from w to a (resp. b) has Hausdorff distance at most R from a geodesic from w to a (resp. b). It follows that there is a point c on the geodesic from a to b and points a' and b' on $(1, C)$-quasigeodesics from w to a in A and w to b in B respectively such that $d(a', c) \leq R + \delta$ and $d(b', c) \leq R + \delta$. Let $\gamma_a : [0, t_a] \to A$ and $\gamma_b : [0, t_b] \to B$ be the quasigeodesics in question. For convenience, we suppose γ_a to be from a to w (so that $\gamma_a(0) = a$) while γ_b is from w to b (so that $\gamma_b(t_b) = b$). Let $\gamma_a(s_a) = a'$ and $\gamma_b(s_b) = b'$. We will build a new quasigeodesic $\gamma : [0, t] \to X$ from a to b, where $t = s_a + t_b - s_b$, whose image is contained in $A \cup B$. We define it as follows:

$$\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma_a(x) & 0 \leq x \leq s_a \\ \gamma_b(x - s_a + s_b) & s_a \leq x \leq t \end{cases}$$

If $x \in [0, s_a]$ and $y \in [s_a, t]$, then we have

$$d(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \leq d(\gamma(x), \gamma(s_a)) + 2(R + \delta) + d(\gamma(s_a), y) \leq d(x, y) + 2C + 2(R + \delta)$$

On the other hand,

$$d(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \geq d(a, b) - d(a, \gamma(x)) - d(b, \gamma(y)) \geq d(a, b) - d(0, x) - d(y, t) - 2C$$

and

$$d(a, b) \geq d(a, a') - (R + \delta) + d(b', b) - (R + \delta) \geq d(0, s_a) - 2(R + \delta) + d(s_a, t) - 2C$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) &\geq d(0, s_a) - 2(R + \delta) + d(s_a, t) - d(0, x) - d(y, t) - 4C \\ &= d(x, y) - 4C - 2(R + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

so γ is a $(1, 4C + 2R + 2\delta))$-quasigeodesic.

(3) & (1) $\implies$ (2): By Lemma 2.1, every (λ, C)-quasigeodesic subspace is also $(1, C')$-quasigeodesic for some C' depending on λ and C.

(2) $\implies$ (3): Any connected union of four geodesic segments is, by hypothesis, a (λ, C)-quasigeodesic subspace for some fixed λ and C. Consider a geodesic triangle abc in X, and let x be the point on $[a, b]$ (the geodesic from a to b) which is furthest from $[b, c]$ and $[a, c]$. If $d(a, x) \leq C + 1$ or $d(x, b) \leq C + 1$, then x is at most $C + 1$ away from one of the other two sides. Suppose $d(a, x) > C + 1$ and $d(b, x) > C + 1$. Let x_a and x_b be points on $[a, x]$ and $[x, b]$ respectively such that $d(x_a, x) = d(x_b, x) = (C + 1)/2$. The union $Y = [a, x_a] \cup [b, x_b] \cup [b, c] \cup [a, c]$ is a connected union of four geodesic subspaces, so it must be a (λ, C)-quasigeodesic subspace. In particular, there is a (λ, C)-quasigeodesic γ joining x_a and x_b whose image is contained in Y. We claim that the image of γ intersects $[a, c] \cup [b, c]$ at some point z. If this is the case, then

$$d(x_a, z) \leq \lambda t + C \leq \lambda(\lambda(d(x_a, x_b) + C)) + C = \lambda^2(2C + 1) + C$$

where t is the length of the interval which is the domain of γ. To prove the claim, note that for $x \in \gamma^{-1}([a, x_a])$ and $y \in \gamma^{-1}([b, x_b])$, we have

$$\lambda d(x, y) + C \geq d(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \geq C + 1 \implies d(x, y) \geq \lambda^{-1} > 0$$

so that by connectedness, $\gamma^{-1}([a, x_a])$ and $\gamma^{-1}([b, x_b])$ cannot cover the domain of γ. Thus X is δ-hyperbolic, for $\delta = \lambda^2(2C + 1) + C + 1$. □

References

[1] J. Roe, *Lectures in Coarse Geometry*, University Lecture Series 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA
E-mail address: tweighil@vols.utk.edu